

The 9th October, 1967

**No. 9230-IFR-67/24081.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (2) of Article 283 of the Constitution of India and all other powers enabling him in this behalf, the Governor of Haryana is pleased to make the following rules to further amend the Punjab Subsidiary Treasury Rules issued under the Treasury Rules (Punjab), Volume I :—

(1) These rules may be called the Punjab Subsidiary Treasury (Third Amendment) Rules, Volume I, 1967.

(2) In the Punjab Subsidiary Treasury Rules, Volume I, for sub-para below rule 4.92, the following shall be substituted, namely :—

“In the case of commuted value of pension the Audit Office will issue the revised pension payment order for the reduced amount of pension along with the authority for the payment of the commuted money, with the instructions to the Treasury Officer to recover the pensioner's half of the original

pension payment order before handing over the pensioner's half of the revised pension payment order and to forward both the halves of the original pension payment order to the Audit Office in a separate Schedule.”

**No. 1668-IFR-67/24122.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (2) of Article 283 of the Constitution of India and all other powers enabling him in this behalf, the Governor of Haryana is pleased to make the following rules to amend the Punjab Financial Rules, Volume I, namely :—

(1) These rules may be called the Punjab Financial Rules, Volume I (Haryana Third Amendment) Rules, 1967.

(2) In the Punjab Financial Rules, Volume I :—

Note 4 under Rule 2.8 (6) shall be deleted.

B.S. MANCHANDA,  
Commissioner for Planning and  
Finance Secy.

#### LABOUR DEPARTMENT

The 9th October, 1967

**No. 9735-3Lab-67/30147.**—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Rohtak, in respect of the dispute between the workmen and management of Municipal Committee, Shahabad, district Karnal.

BEFORE SHRI P. N. THUKRAL, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT,  
ROHTAK

Reference No. 37 of 1967

between

THE WORKMEN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF THE MUNICIPAL COMMITTEE,  
SHAHABAD, DISTRICT KARNAL

Present :—

Shri Darshan Singh, General Secretary, Municipal Employees Union,  
Shahabad.

Shri Lachhman Dutt Mehta, Secretary of the Municipal Committee, Shahabad.

#### AWARD

The Government of Haryana in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 read with proviso to that sub-section of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, referred the following dispute which existed between the Municipal Committee, Shahabad, district Karnal, and their employees for adjudication,— vide gazette notification No. 180-SF-III-Lab-67, dated 11th April, 1967 :—

- (1) Whether the dearness allowance be increased and be linked with the consumers price index? If so, with what details?
- (2) Whether the employees are entitled to seasonal uniforms? If so, with what details?
- (3) Whether Shri Darshan Singh, Octroi Moharar, is entitled to any compensation for the period of his suspension from 18th June, 1966 to 29th January, 1967 and if so, to what exact amount and for what period?

On receipt of this reference notices were issued to the parties. The workmen filed their statement of claim and the Municipal Committee filed the written statement. An amicable settlement has, however, been arrived between the parties. The Municipal Committee has passed Resolution No. 23, dated 2nd September, 1967, under which it has been

decided that the claimant Shri Darshan Singh would be deemed to be on duty during the period of his suspension and he would be paid the arrears due to him on his withdrawing this reference. It was also decided that the workers would withdraw two other claims which are the subject-matter of this reference to this Court. Shri Darshan Singh who is the General Secretary of the Municipal Employees Union has made a statement that he does not press the claim that the dearness allowance be increased and be linked with the consumers price index and that the employees be also given seasonal uniforms. In accordance with the statement of the parties it is decided that the workers are not entitled to any dearness allowance or seasonal uniforms and that Shri Darshan Singh would be deemed on duty during the period of his suspension and he would be paid the arrears due to him. No order as to costs.

Camp Ambala Cantt. :

P. N. THUKRAL,  
Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Rohtak.

Dated the 20th September, 1967

No. 1335, dated 4th October, 1967.

The award is submitted to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Department, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

P. N. THUKRAL,  
Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Rohtak.

The 10th October, 1967

No. 9835-3Lab-67/30130.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Rohtak, in respect of the dispute between the workmen and management of M/s Dogra Steel Industries, Faridabad.

BEFORE SHRI P. N. THUKRAL, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, ROHTAK  
Reference No. 29 of 1967

between

THE WORKMEN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S DOGRA STEEL INDUSTRIES,  
INDUSTRIAL AREA, FARIDABAD

Present —

Sarvshri A. R. Handa and Ashok Kumar for the workmen.

Shri R. C. Sharma for the management.

AWARD

Shri Karam Chand Mehta was employed as an Accountant by M/s Dogra Steel Industries, Faridabad. There were losses and the factory stopped working. In December, 1966, Shri Karam Chand Mehta was also retrenched. He was aggrieved by reason of the termination of his services and this raised an industrial dispute. The Government of Haryana in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 read with proviso to that sub-section of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, referred the following dispute to this Court for adjudication,—*vide* gazette notification No. 150-SFIII-Lab-67, dated 17th March, 1967 :—

Whether the termination of the services of Shri Karam Chand Mehta was justified and in order? If not, to what relief/exact compensation is he entitled?

On receipt of the reference notices were issued to the claimant to file his statement of claim and to the management to file their written statement.

During the course of proceedings a settlement Ex-Re/1 has been arrived at between the parties. The workman Shri Karam Chand Mehta has received a sum of Rs 3,445 and a sum of Rs 395 are still due to him. The representative of the management has made a statement that this amount will be paid to the workman within a week of the publication of this award and the workman has given up his claim for reinstatement. So I make my award accordingly. No order as to costs.

Camp Ballabgarh :

P. N. THUKRAL,  
Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Rohtak.

Dated the 27th September, 1967

No. 1372, dated 6th October, 1967.

The award in quadruplicate is submitted to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Department, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

P. N. THUKRAL,  
Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Rohtak.  
P. N. BHALLA, Secy.